

# 2001 NDIA Systems Engineering Conference

---

## Integrated Risk Management: Bridging the Gap Between Acquisition and Sustainment

---

**Wayne Ayer**

Engineering Advisor for Acquisitions

[wayne.ayer@robins.af.mil](mailto:wayne.ayer@robins.af.mil)

(478)926-0253



**WR-ALC/PKA**

*Robins AFB, Georgia*

# Overview

---

- ★ Purpose
- ★ DoD 5000-2R and Defense Acquisition Deskbook
- ★ DoD 5000-2R Milestones
- ★ Contract Type and Structure
- ★ AFI 63-107
- ★ Risk Management through Manning
- ★ Program Monitoring and Feedback
- ★ Conclusions

# Purpose

---

The purpose of this briefing is to advocate the policy guidance on integrated risk management, documented in DoD 5000-2R and the Defense Acquisition Deskbook.

Integrated risk management is a process that will bridge the acquiring, using, sustaining, and contractor communities. The reduction of lifecycle costs is a continuing issue for these communities.

This briefing will focus on the influence of risk management throughout the acquisition cycle on the sustainment of the weapon system.

# DoD 5000-2R and Defense Acquisition Deskbook

---

- ★ Integrated Risk Management (IRM) is clearly the only tool we currently have that bridges all phases and acquisition sources during a product's lifecycle.
- ★ Policy and guidance documentation requires or recommends:
  - Tailoring the number of phases and decision points to meet the specific needs of the PM based upon a number of facets - including RISK.
  - Reassessment and briefing the risks to the MDA at every milestone.
  - Formation of a joint Government/contractor risk evaluation team to foster the partnership and ownership of the program and business risks.

# DoD 5000-2R Milestones

---

- ★ **Milestone 0:** Approval to enter Phase 0 -- Concept Exploration
- ★ **Milestone I:** Approval to begin Phase I -- Program Definition and Risk Reduction
- ★ **Milestone II:** Approval to enter Phase II -- Engineering and Manufacturing Development
- ★ **Milestone III:** Approval to enter Phase III -- Production, Fielding/Deployment, and Operational Support
- ★ The structure of DoD 5000 is also applicable to non-ACAT programs and should be tailored and adopted as appropriate. Therefore, IRM should be tailored and adopted similarly.

# Milestone 0

## Approval to Conduct Studies

---

- ★ Initially, the IIRM Team should be comprised of the user, labs, industry, and the acquiring activity.
- ★ The IIRM Team must conduct adequate market research to ensure Mission Needs Statements and other requirements definition documents are realistically defined.
- ★ Mission needs must not be risk averse but they must acknowledge the existence of risks and reflect prudent risks in:
  - Need dates.
  - Out year POM submissions.
  - Planned trade study time periods.
  - Planned lab/technology demonstration programs.

# Milestone I

## Approval to Begin a New Acquisition

---

- ★ The IRM Team should be expanded including initial input from the sustainment community for:
  - Options for sustainment.
  - Out year DOD sustainment vision.
  
- ★ Risk must be a part of the definitions of:
  - Program objectives.
  - Opportunities for trade-offs.
  - Overall acquisition strategy.
  - T&E Strategy.
  - Cost, schedule, and performance.
  - Contract structure, terms and conditions, and their impact on business case.
  
- ★ Initial roadmap and management plan with user concurrence should be in place and approved by MDA.

# Milestone II

## Approval to Enter EMD

---

- ★ Sustainment risks for the conceptualized system should be defined and presented to the MDA.
- ★ Business case and risk tradeoffs from an industry perspective should be clearly articulated to the MDA.
- ★ During Phase II, defining tradeoffs in cost and sustainment support capability is critical, including:
  - Level of maintenance.
  - Source of repair options based on the technologies recommended for Phase III.
  - Initial hardware and software sustainment concepts and associated risks.
- ★ Bottom line is: The risk management program should strike a balance between the business case and program risks.



# Milestone II

## Risk Mitigation Tools for Phase II

---

- ★ Implement an Independent Verification & Validation (IV&V) of critical contract deliverable documents with contractor support such as:
  - Software.
  - Structural reports.
  - Electrical analyses.
  - Design for maintainability.
  - Tech data.
- ★ Phase II implementation must focus on risks permitting a reduction in the amount of required formal testing.
- ★ These tools should be an integral part of your systems engineering with risk management programs whose outcomes are the responsibility of the program manager.

# Milestone II

## Risk Mitigation Tools for Phase II *(continued...)*

---

- ★ The IRM team must focus on maintaining the balance between program risks and business case risks.
- ★ The goal is to ensure that maintaining the balance between program risks and business case risks is addressed by PM and MDA as a program decision.

# Milestone III

## Approval to Enter Production

---

- ★ Sustainment impacts are evaluated by cost, schedule, and remaining options available for sustainment.
  - The synopsis of sustainment options should feed into a sustainment roadmap for transitioning from initial CLS to the final sustainment concept for the weapon system.
  - Sustainment roadmap should be written by sustainment IPT.
- ★ Sustainment is the most costly aspect of the life of a program.
  - It is a significant risk to the program if the sustainment philosophy is not matured early enough in the development of the program.
  - Program development decisions in Phase II must consider the risks those decisions are imposing on the sustainment of the weapons system.

# Milestone III

## Approval to Enter Production (*continued...*)

---

- ★ The sustainment roadmap is a living document that must be updated to reflect cost, schedule, and technical tradeoffs made pre/post MS III.
- ★ Cost of ownership risks require sustainment impacts of Phase II decisions to be identified and briefed to the MDA.

# Contract Type and Structure

---

- ★ Program risks should be used as the basis for the source selection criteria.
- ★ Risk management approach is dependent upon contract type.
  - FFP – vast majority of risk management is prime contractor responsibility.
  - Other than FFP – There is a sharing of risk between the contractor and the Government.

# Contract Type and Structure *(continued...)*

---

- ★ A longer term structure with options and decentralized ordering covering all phases and sustainment transition is preferred over separate contracts for each phase:
  - Phase II and Phase III.
  - Initial production.
  - Initial spares.
  - CLS and provisioning based upon demands experienced during initial CLS.
  - Depot transition support.
  - Initial DMS support.
  
- ★ Longer term structure mitigates contractor's business risk and government's management risk.

# Risk Management Through Manning

---

- ★ Benefits of an effective integrated risk management program include:
  - Fewer required personnel.
  - Greater focus on key program elements.
- ★ Cost effective approach to risk management can be achieved through independent contractor reviews of key program submittals and milestone reviews for Phases II and on.
- ★ Continuity of manning should be maintained during the transition from acquisition to sustainment through either:
  - Common contractor support.
  - Earlier commitment of Government sustainment personnel who are ultimately responsible for the sustainment of the system.

# AFI 63-107

## Integrated Product Support Planning and Assessment

---

*“...reinforces and emphasizes Air Force policy to ensure proper responsibility is vested in a single manager for both acquisition and sustainment planning.”*

- ★ Sustainment impacts shall be assessed *throughout* all phases of a program.
- ★ Contractor/Government sustainment options:
  - Contractor TSSR/TSPR.
  - Industry/organic mix.
  - Full organic - Government.
- ★ One element of IRM must be *sustainment* with the completion of Milestone II and on.



# Program Monitoring and Feedback Results

---

- ★ Risk Management Plan must be updated at each milestone necessitating reassessment.
- ★ Mechanisms of risk feedback are:
  - Milestone 0 industry involvement.
  - Milestone I sustainment issues identified briefed to MDA.
  - Milestone II and III sustainment issues and mitigation plans reassessed and briefed to MDA.
- ★ Risks and mitigation approaches shall be briefed to the MDA at each milestone decision briefing.

# Program Monitoring and Feedback Results *(continued...)*

---

- ★ Contract should incorporate language to require the contractor to maintain and update the integrated risk management plan.
- ★ The updates to this plan should be briefed at every program review to ensure that the PM is aware of the changes program risks.

# Conclusions

---

- ★ *Remember:* A risk assessment is a single data point. A risk management program requires the continual reassessment of risk and mitigation strategies.
- ★ Effective IRM requires buy-in from both Government and industry who share:
  - Joint responsibility.
  - Joint risk mitigation plan and roadmap.
  - (And understand) equally, the business case risk.
- ★ Integrate roadmaps and risk management plans to encompass the entire acquisition and sustainment lifecycle. Risk management should permeate every phase of our acquisition and sustainment lifecycles.

# Conclusions *(continued...)*

---

- ★ Emphasize IRM as part of enterprise management.
- ★ *We all must be business advisors.* The government program managers are not just paying the bill. They must actively manage the business case in concert with their industry counterparts.

---

*Questions?*

